



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



fu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,453	02/27/2002	Manabu Sugiura	5000-4996	1830
75	90 07/14/2003			
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
345 Park Avenu New York, NY	••		LESLIE, MICHAEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	4
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/085,453	SUGIURA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael Leslie	3745
Period fo		cation appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITIES on time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum starte to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months at digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe unication. b) days, a reply within the statutory min tutory period will apply and will expire i will, by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-fi	nal.
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract on of Claims		rmal matters, prosecution as to the ments is 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the a	onlication	
ĺ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		ation
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e withdrawn from consider	
l '	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
i	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election require	ment
•	on Papers	don and/or election require	TICH.
	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
,	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2</u>		b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)□ approve	ed b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	quired in reply to this Office ac	tion.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	$\boxtimes$ All b) $\square$ Some * c) $\square$ None of:		
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	ived.
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	ived in Application No
* 5		ational Bureau (PCT Rule	
14)□ <i>A</i>	acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	)  The translation of the foreign lar Acknowledgment is made of a claim f		
Attachmen	-		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 3745

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanou et al. (5,974,946).

Kanou et al. discloses a component of a compressor having a lubricating surface portion in a slide contact area that is formed of a copper based material containing solid lubricant but no lead. Wherein the compressor includes a swash plate type compressor having a swash plate (15) with a lubricating surface, a rotating shaft (5), a piston (51), and a shoe (79, 81) with a lubricating surface.

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jingu.

Jingu discloses a component of a compressor having a lubricating surface portion in a slide contact area that is formed of a copper based material containing solid lubricant but no lead. Wherein the compressor includes a swash plate type compressor having a swash plate (24) with a lubricating surface, a rotating shaft (23), a piston (22), and a shoe (33, 34) with a lubricating surface.

Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sugiura et al.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Sugiura et al. discloses a component of a compressor having a lubricating surface portion, being a lubricating film, in a slide contact area that is formed of an aluminum-based material containing solid lubricant but no lead. Wherein the compressor includes a swash plate type compressor having a swash plate (10) with a lubricating surface, a rotating shaft (9), a piston (8), and a shoe (20) with a lubricating surface.

Art Unit: 3745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Sugiura et al in view of Kanou et al. (5,974,946).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(1)(1) and § 706.02(1)(2).

Art Unit: 3745

Sugiura et al. teaches the component of a compressor as described above in claim 2, but

Page 5

does not specifically teach the method of forming the lubricating layer on the component. Kanou

et al. teaches various well known methods of forming the lubricating film including sintering. It

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the method of forming the lubricating film on the component by forming the

lubricating film by sintering as taught by Kanou et al. for the purpose of adhering the lubricating

film to the component.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Leslie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0055. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0861.

ML

July 8, 2003

Patent Examiner

**AU 3745** 

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 3700 7/9/03